Autoscanning for Coupled Scene Reconstruction and Proactive Object Analysis Kai Xu, Hui Huang, Yifei Shi, Hao Li, Pinxin Long, Jianong Caichen,

Wei Sun, Baoquan Chen



Shenzhen VisuCA Key Lab / SIAT



National University of Defense Technology

SIGGRAPH

ASIA 2015

КПВ

Shandong University





- Background & motivation
- Method
- Results & evaluation
- Conclusion
- Future works





- Fast development of

- Commodity depth camera
- Real-time reconstruction

KinectFusion [Nießner et al. 2013]







- Real-time reconstruction allows for ...



Instant visual feedback



Online analysis!!



Scanning

Reconstruction



SIGGRA



- Why is it so exciting?

Online analysis guides autonomous scanning !!



- Online analysis tells the robot *where to scan* and *when to stop*.
- Analysis will benefit from more and more complete reconstruction.





- What's more exciting?

Online analysis guides autonomous scanning !!



Autoscanning replacing human scanning!

- What's more exciting?

Online analysis guides autonomous scanning !!





















Scene segmentation is not easy!





Low quality depth maps



Drifting issue with KinectFusion



sa2015.SIGGRAPHIECTS in varying scales



Cluttered objects

Our solution: Proactive analysis

0000 00000 00000

000

PR













Method





Problem statement



Input: Raw KinectFusion recon. • **Output:** Full scene reconstruction • **Object-level segmentation** • **Object-wise fidelity** •

Problem statement





Method overview











Algorithm I Object-level segmentation





Object-level segmentation - Pipeline





Object-level segmentation

Generating object hypothesis

Multiple binary graph-cuts

Selecting object hypothesis

One multi-class graph-cut































 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$











 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$





So we get three hypothetical objects:



The next step is to label the scene using the three labels above ...







Selecting the most prominent hypotheses with a multi-class graph-cut







multi-class graph-cut











Object-level segmentation







Ours

RANSAC



Learn segmentation from history ...





Can we learn from such case, and apply the learned knowledge in segmenting the rest part of the scene?



Online Learning of cut cost



 $E(L) = \sum E_d(l_u; P_u) + \sum E_s(l_u, l_v)$ $u \in \mathcal{V}_{p}$ $(u,v)\in\mathcal{E}_{p}$

 $E_{s}(l_{u} \neq l_{v}) = 1 - p(l_{u} \neq l_{v} | \mathbf{x}(P_{u}, P_{v}))$

Predicted by learned SVM classifier



Online Learning of cut cost











Collect training examples from pushes:

Positive examples: must cut



Negative examples: cannot cut



Online Learning of cut cost



- Incremental update of the classifier





Online Learning of cut cost











Algorithm II Entropy-based proactive validation





Where to push?





Object-level segmentation



Validation pipeline





Validation pipeline







How to measure the entropy?



How to measure entropy?



- Our goal is *object-aware reconstruction*
- Two aspects:
 - Uncertainty of **Segmentation**
 - Uncertainty of **Reconstruction**

H = H(, $) \implies$ Joint entropy H(S,R) = H(S) + H(R|S)







How to measure entropy?

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}(S,R) &= H(S) + H(R|S) \\
H(R|S) &= -\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}^{0}(S)} p_{e}(e) \sum_{s \in \overline{\Omega}(e)} g(c(s)) \log g(c(s)) \\
\text{Conditioned entropy}
\end{aligned}$$

Given an *object segmentation*, how much uncertainty is there in the *object-wise reconstruction*?







Search over all push points:

$$u^* = \arg\max_{u} I(S, R | \langle \mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{d}_u \rangle)$$

Posterior entropy

$$I(S, R | \langle \mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{d}_u \rangle) = H(S, R) - H'(S, R | \langle \mathbf{p}_u, \mathbf{d}_u \rangle)$$

before push is performed = performed

How to compute the posterior entropy



Plot of information gain







Object-level segmentation

Information gain







Results and evaluation





Results



- Test on real-life scenes



Results



- Test on real-life scenes





- Ground-truth data



A quantitative measure



bilateral support between ground-truth and reconstructed surfaces





- Bilateral support

reconstructed surface

ground-truth surface

Imperfect reconstruction





- Bilateral support



Reconstructed with under-segmentation







- Bilateral support



Reconstructed with over-segmentation









- Comparing to alternative robot active analysis



Limitations



- Extraction rate: 50%~80%
- Push scheduling
- Vertical support

-80%

- Object stack
- Non-rigid objects







- A new paradigm of 3D acquisition

- Autonomous scene scanning
 - Scene level: Large scale
 - Object level: Detailed

- Proactive validation
 - Physically-validated segmentation



Future works



- Multiple robots?





Future works





Acknowledgement



- Anonymous reviewers
- Hao (Richard) Zhang and Daniel Cohen-Or
- Research grants
 - NSFC
 - National 973 Program
 - Guangdong Science and Technology Program
 - Shenzhen VisuCA Key Lab
 - The Fundamental Research Funds of Shandong University
 - SIAT Innovation Program for Excellent Young Researchers





Code of object analysis: www.kevinkaixu.net



Physically feasible push

- Heuristic rules





